VZCZCXRO0477 PP RUEHFK RUEHKSO RUEHNH DE RUEHKO #2971 1822323 ZNY CCCCC ZZH P 012323Z JUL 07 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5066 INFO RUEHBJ/AMEMBASSY BEIJING PRIORITY 7675 RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY 1754 RUEHUL/AMEMBASSY SEOUL PRIORITY 3733 RUEHFK/AMCONSUL FUKUOKA PRIORITY 1829 RUEHNH/AMCONSUL NAHA PRIORITY 4244 RUEHOK/AMCONSUL OSAKA KOBE PRIORITY 5408 RUEHKSO/AMCONSUL SAPPORO PRIORITY 2638 RUEHSH/AMCONSUL SHENYANG PRIORITY 0502 RHHMUNA/HQ USPACOM HONOLULU HI PRIORITY RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC PRIORITY RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK PRIORITY 4827 RUAGAAA/COMUSKOREA SEOUL KOR PRIORITY RUALSFJ/COMUSJAPAN YOKOTA AB JA PRIORITY RHEHAAA/NSC WASHDC PRIORITY RHEHAAA/WHITE HOUSE WASHDC PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL TOKYO 002971

STPDTS

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 06/29/2017
TAGS: PREL PARM KNNP KN JA
SUBJECT: JAPANESE ANGST ABOUT RECENT DEVELOPMENTS ON NORTH
KOREAN NUCLEAR ISSUE

Classified By: Ambassador J. Thomas Schieffer. Reason: 1.4 (b) (d).

- 11. (C) Summary: Most Japanese media, academic and political sources cite A/S Hill,s June 21-22 Pyongyang visit as a first step toward denuclearizing the DPRK. Some observers, however, remain skeptical about the DPRK's intention to shut down its nuclear facilities, and there is widespread worry over A/S Hill's bilateral contact with the DPRK. Although several sources tell Embassy Tokyo they view A/S Hill's Pyongyang visit as intentionally downplaying the abduction issue and leaving Japan out of Six-Party negotiations, this notion has been rebutted by Prime Minister Abe and mitigated by A/S Hill's continuing "celebrity8 status in Japan. End Summary.
- 12. (C) Overall media coverage of A/S Hill's Pyongyang visit was positive, but analysts believe that it is unlikely the DPRK will dismantle its nuclear facility without making unreasonable demands in future negotiations. A Mainichi editorial said that while it appeared A/S Hill took some preliminary steps toward dismantling North Korea,s nuclear program, "actions are more important than words.8 Foreign Minister Aso reflected that skepticism in a June 22 press conference, observing that A/S Hill,s visit to North Korea would ¬ immediately settle things.8 Prime Minister Abe offered a similarly guarded public statement on June 25, saying Japan &must carefully monitor whether North Korea will actually carry out that action.8
- 13. (C) Other editorials expressed concern with what they claimed to be an attempt to exclude the abduction issue from the Six-Party process. Asahi observed that Japan has little direct contact with Pyongyang, forcing Tokyo to &stake its only chance for achieving success on the abduction issue on progress in the bilateral dialogue between the U.S. and North Korea.8 Mainichi reporter Koichi Yonemura took a different tack, telling us it was widely recognized in Japan that to mix the nuclear and abduction issues was &illogical and inconsistent.8
- 14. (C) DPRK expert Hajime Izumi of Shizuoka University told Embassy Tokyo that A/S Hill,s visit to Pyongyang, because it took place before the shutdown of the nuclear facilities,

called into question the legitimacy of Six-Party discussions and gave the international community an &excuse to criticize the visit.8 Meanwhile, Masaharu Nakagawa, Deputy Chairman of DPJ Abduction Issue Strategy, warned that if the U.S. continued its bilateral dialogue with North Korea, the Six-Party Talks might become a faade.

15. (C) On June 24, Prime Minister Abe publicly dismissed reports that Japan had been kept in the dark about A/S Hill,s mission and stressed that Japan and the U.S. have an &unshakable alliance.8 Tadasu Yano, Policy Secretary to LDP Councilor Ichita Yamamoto, told us that the LDP was generally satisfied with A/S Hill,s visit to Pyongyang. Finally, media contacts have observed that concern over A/S Hill's Pyongyang visit has also been mitigated by his continuing &celebrity8 status in Japan. SCHIEFFER